

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9
10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11

12 ANTHONY L. ROBINSON,
13
14 Plaintiff,
15 v
16 GRAY DAVIS et al.,
17 Defendants.

Case No C 02-1538

REPORT OF PRO SE PRISONER
EARLY SETTLEMENT
PROCEEDING

18
19
20 A settlement conference in this matter was held on December 28, 2007. The results
21 of that proceeding are indicated below:

22 (1) The following individuals, parties, and/or representatives participated in the
23 proceeding, each possessing the requisite settlement authority:

24 ☒ Plaintiff with counsel.

25 ☐ Warden or warden's representative

26 ☒ Office of the California Attorney General

27 ☒ Other: California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
28

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(2) The following individuals, parties, and/or representatives did not appear:

(3) The outcome of the proceeding was:

☐ The case has been completely settled.

☐ The case has been partially resolved and, on or before

_____, counsel for defendants shall file a joint stipulation specifying those claims which have been resolved and those that remain to be resolved by the Court.

☒ The parties agree to an additional follow up settlement on January 10, 2007 at Salinas Valley State Prison.

☐ The parties are unable to reach an agreement at this time.

Date: 1/2/08



Nandor J Vadas
United States Magistrate Judge